



**Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on
Tuesday 2nd June 2020 19:00
at the Town Hall, Creed Street, Wolverton, MK12 5LY**

Councillors present: Cllrs Aiyeola, Cook, Moss and Riaz

Councillors absent: Cllr Saunders

Also, present: Mandy Shipp Assistant to the Clerk and one member of the public

PL20/001	Agree to elect the Chairman of the planning Committee It was RESOLVED to defer the election of the Chairman of the Planning committee until the next meeting in the absence of a Chairman and vice Chairman, it was RESOLVED that Cllr Moss would chair this meeting.
PL20/002	Agree to elect the Vice-Chairman of the Planning Committee It was RESOLVED to defer the election of the Chairman of the Planning Committee until the next meeting Aiyeola and a member of the public joined the meeting
PL20/003	Apologies for absence – none
PL20/004	Declaration of Interest on any matter on the agenda – none declared
PL20/005	Public Questions - no public questions were received
PL20/006	Planning Applications 20/01012/FUL 3 Manor Cottages, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NN <i>Relocation of garage/office/store from the South East corner of the site as approved in 17/02472/FUL, to the South West corner of the site (Re-submission of 20/00023/FUL)</i> The committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application as it is supported by Local Plan Design policy D2:1 in that it relates well to the surrounding area however the detail is very lacking and it does appear that the size of the proposal has increased against the former plans rather than the decrease
PL20/007	20/01019/FUL43 Aylesbury Street, Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5HX <i>Replacement of existing front door and all windows on front elevation</i> The committee RESOLVED to OBJECT to the proposal for the replacement windows. Although the current windows in situ, are non-original Victorian. The applicant has failed to show sufficiently the replacement sash style windows, with the detailing that we would expect to see for replacements and is just replacing like for like. The Committee has requested that the applicant provides a proposal where these details can be seen which include sash and horns. As it stands the application is contrary to trying to retain the historic vision of the conservation area and does not support the heritage and development policy as set out in the local plan HE1:A , as the proposal does not sustain or enhance the significant heritage assets of the conservation area, by overlooking trying to retain the historic features of the original Victorian sash windows, such as the horns The application should be refused on this basis and is also supported by the following policies for which it is contrary to: HE1:G . In assessing any potential harm or enhancement to the significance of a heritage asset(s) the following will be considered:

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

	<p>1. Avoiding successive small-scale changes that lead to a cumulative loss or harm to the significance of the asset or historic environment.</p> <p>2. Respecting the character, appearance, special interest and setting of the asset and historic environment</p> <p>D1:1 the replacements do not respond appropriately with the site and their surroundings</p> <p>D2:2 the proposed replacements do not create a positive character within the conservation area</p> <p>Policy D3 design of buildings Development proposals that meet the following objectives and principles will be permitted:</p> <p>1. The appearance of the building contributes to the enhancement or creation of a positive character for the development or of a particular character area for larger developments. 6. Particular attention should be given to the design of buildings along key streets in order to create a strong identifiable character whilst ensuring careful transition</p> <p>The committee confirmed that there is NO OBJECTION with the proposed replacement front door</p>
<p>PL20/008</p>	<p>20/01018/FUL Land to The East of High Park Drive Wolverton Mill Milton Keynes <i>A block of apartments containing 6 residential dwellings. An addition of 2 extra dwellings to the apartment block already approved under planning permission ref 19/03027/REM, including parking and amenity space installation</i></p> <p>The original proposal for the site was for 74 homes, however this application seeks to create an additional two flats to the proposed block of 4, raising it from two storeys to three.</p> <p>The increase in the number of homes whilst small should also be reflected back within the planning obligations for the additional homes that are proposed and is supported by policy INF1 for delivering infrastructure in close proximity to the site, and this should be something that the council requests from the developer</p> <p>The committee RESOLVED that a condition be imposed that there is an assurance that parking will be added to the plans for the extra houses and that the addition of these homes should be reflected in the Planning Obligations</p> <p>As long as the above are taken into consideration the committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application in theory, as it fits with the local policy D1:1 where it responds appropriately to the site and surrounding context and D1:2. The continuity of street frontage and locating fronts of buildings to face the street or public space.</p>
<p>PL20/009</p>	<p>20/01053/NOTTEL Telecommunication Mast Waterside Park Old Wolverton Road Old Wolverton Milton Keynes <i>Intention to upgrade existing telecommunications</i></p> <p>This is a notification in conjunction with the previous application received for 19/03201/FUL The replacement of an existing 20.0m monopole with a 25.0m high capacity monopole supporting 6 no antenna apertures, 4 no dishes, together with ground based equipment cabinets within an existing fenced compound and ancillary development thereto, as per the previous comments from WGTC the committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION as this application complies with policy CT9 - Digital Communications of Plan:MK, which has regard for the delivery and implementation of these services which support should be given, so as not to have a negative impact on the setting.</p> <p>WGTC are pleased to see the latest digital infrastructure is being delivered. The location of the monopole is within an industrial area so would not place a significant impact on any residential</p>

HMSanders

7th July 2020

	<p>properties and it also seen to comply with the NPPF Para 112. which identifies the importance of digital infrastructure “As essential for economic growth and social well-being. Planning policies and decisions should support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next generation mobile technology (such as 5G) and full fibre broadband connections.</p> <p>Policies should set out how high-quality digital infrastructure, providing access to services from a range of providers, is expected to be delivered and upgraded over time; and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution)”</p> <p>Para 113 of the NPPF also advises that use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate”</p> <p>The Housing White Paper sets out the Government’s strong commitment to achieving full fibre connectivity and enhancing broadband coverage for local communities by capitalising on all new developments and the digital infrastructure attached to them.</p>
PL20/010	<p>20/00988/FUL The Gables St Georges Way Wolverton Milton Keynes MK12 5EY <i>New external bin store. Windows to be blocked up temporarily on ground floor</i></p> <p>The Committee RESOLVED to OBJECT to this application as one concern with the proposal is the siting of the bins, the proposed location is to the front of the property and have they the potential to be vandalised. They would also be in front of neighbouring properties who would be looking out directly onto them, if the bins are overfilled there is potential littering issue for all the properties that are directly adjacent to them and could be contrary to the local plan policy D5 amenity and street scene 3. Appropriate provision of service areas and refuse storage and collection areas should be made according to the nature of the development. Such areas and access to them should be appropriately sited and designed to ensure they can: b. Maintain an attractive and coherent street scene and protect visual amenity; and c. Avoid creating risk to human health or an environmental nuisance..</p> <p>There is also a concern at the blocking off, of the windows on the ground floor of the flats and we would wish for this to be unblocked once there are residents there</p> <p>We would request in this instance that consideration is given to a different siting of the bins so that they are not obtrusive to others and comply with the above policy and that the windows will be unblocked in future.</p>
PL20/011	<p>20/01038/FUL 23 Lingfield Stacey Bushes Milton Keynes MK12 6HB <i>Single storey rear and two storey side extension (Resubmission of 20/00772/FUL) 20/01074/TCA</i> Officer comments for 20/01038/FUL 23 Lingfield Stacey Bushes Milton Keynes MK12 6HB <i>Single storey rear and two storey side extension (Resubmission of 20/00772/FUL) 20/01074/TCA</i></p> <p>The Committee RESOLVED to OBJECT to this application as they are applying to build on land that is public. There is also an issues that by building this size of extension it will mean the loss of parking to the front of the building, which would compound the parking issues that are already faced, there is also a concern that should this application be approved it will set a precedent for others to build on public land, resulting in a loss of valuable land</p>
PL20/012	<p>20/01074/TCA 54 Jersey Road Wolverton Milton Keynes MK12 5BH <i>Notification of intention to fell cherry tree in rear garden</i></p>

HMSanders

7th July 2020

	The Committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application and noted that the tree was obstructing telephone/electric lines for the homes.
PL20/013	20/01084/FUL 23 - 30 Brookside, Hodge Lea, Milton Keynes, MK12 6JT <i>Replace existing single glazed timber framed windows and doors with new double-glazed uPVC windows and doors and replace timber communal entrance doors with powder coated steel communal entrance doors.</i> The committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application and have agreed to use the same comments for all applications relating to the replacements at Brookside. Which proposed to replace and upgrade the current security entrance and windows which are brown Upvc and the communal entrance doors from wood to steel. The residential flats are not situated within the conservation area and their replacement would serve to secure the entrances for the residents, the proposed replacement windows and supports the following local policy SC1:D from Plan MK for which proposals would result in considerable improvements to the energy efficiency, carbon emissions and/or general suitability, condition and longevity of existing buildings will be supported, with significant weight attributed to those benefits.
PL20/014	20/01086/FUL 31 - 33 Brookside, Hodge Lea, Milton Keynes, MK12 6JT <i>the replacement of existing single glazed timber framed windows and doors with new double-glazed uPVC windows and doors. The replacement of timber communal entrance doors with powder coated steel communal entrance doors.</i> As above comments 20/01086/FUL
PL20/015	20/01087/FUL 34 - 46 Brookside Hodge Lea Milton Keynes MK12 6JT <i>the replacement of existing single glazed timber framed windows and doors with new double-glazed uPVC windows and doors. The replacement of timber communal entrance doors with powder coated steel communal entrance doors.</i> As above comments 20/01086/FUL
PL20/016	20/01088/FUL 47-50 Brookside Hodge Lea, Milton Keynes, MK12 6JX <i>The replacement of existing single glazed timber framed windows and doors with new double glazed uPVC windows and doors.</i> As above comments 20/01086/FUL
PL20/017	20/01147/FUL Longueville Court, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NH Conversion of stable and barn outbuildings and construction of linked extension between the two to form a new dwelling along with access and associated development Comments as 20/01148/LBC
PL20/018	20/01148/LBC Longueville Court, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NH <i>Conversion of stable and barn outbuildings and construction of linked extension between the two to form a new dwelling along with access and associated development</i> The committee RESOLVED to OJECT to the proposed removal of the trees. The Arboriculturist has recommended that 10 new trees to be planted as the planned works will result in removal of trees from the site, and that there is no TPO in place at present, however the report states that tree T22 a yew, is recommended for removal so to so as to allow additional natural lighting of the proposed glass link between the two existing structures, and as the tree is not visible to the public its removal is considered acceptable subject to replanting of four native trees elsewhere within the site. Wolverton and Greenleys town council consider that the trees at this site should be retained where possible and that this tree in particular is considered within the scheme to be retained. We would support trees that are in the category of 'U' where they are needed to be removed due to their poor condition and new trees are planted within the site to replace them. Removal of trees because it doesn't fit with the proposed design of the listed building goes against Milton Keynes Council biodiversity policy and what they are currently trying to achieve by getting more trees planted back in the city. There is also a concern on the impact of local habitats for bats and birds

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

	<p>Of the 29 trees identified on the report, 4 trees which require work as they are in a moderate to poor condition and it is recommended that 12 are felled, only one of which is poor to moderate. The Ecology report provided supports the need to retain trees for the purpose of wildlife and provide recommendations to avoid harm</p> <p>The committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to the proposal which looks to bring the building back to use, the works that are suggested for the outbuilding are likely to have a positive impact and their restoration will prevent further decay and enable future use, this would be supported by policy SD7:8 where it carefully considers the conservation and enhancement of the special interest, character, appearance and significance of heritage assets and their setting, as part of the positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of, and clear strategy for enhancing, the historic environment as required by the NPPF “to have regard to ensure heritage assets are wherever possible sustained and enhanced” and HE1G:2 and 4</p>
PL20/019	<p>20/01098/FUL St Mary Magdalene Catholic Primary School, Ardwell Lane, Greenleys, Milton Keynes, MK12 6AY the proposal is to extend an existing staffroom building using an orangery type design Extension</p> <p>The committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to the proposal as the current site is 36sqm2 changing it to 39sqm2, the area proposed for development is not used for anything specific just linkage land. The proposal is supported by Local Policy EH1 and EH2 for the provision of new schools, where proposals for the expansion of existing schools will be supported and delivered to support and sustain the local catchment area, as the school is looking to expand the requirement for a larger staffroom is needed which fits with the expansion</p>
PL20/020	Licensing Applications – none
PL20/021	<p>Street Trading Consent Applications –</p> <p>The report from items to note was discussed prior to the following street trading applications Ref 143311 Soft99 Whip Boroughwide Street Trading Consent – Renewal and Transfer Variation hours applied for Monday to Sunday 14:00 – 20:00 The Committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application</p>
PL20/022	<p>Ref 147614 Mister Softee renewal Boroughwide Street Trading Consent for the following times Monday to Sunday 16:00 to 20:00 The Committee RESOLVED to make no OBJECTION to this application</p>
PL20/023	<p>Ref 147552 Mr Softee renewal Boroughwide Street Trading Consent hours applied for Monday to Sunday 14:00 – 20:00 The Committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application</p>
PL20/024	<p>Ref 147710 Mr Softee renewal Boroughwide Street Trading Consent hours applied for Monday to Sunday 15:30 – 19:30 The Committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application</p>
PL20/025	<p>Ref 143366 MSJ Ice Cream Services renewal Boroughwide Street Trading Consent for 1 ice cream van to trade for the following times: Monday to Sunday 16:00 to 20:00 The Committee RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION to this application</p>
PL20/026	Appeals – none
PL20/027	<p>Receive an update on previously discussed planning and licensing applications.</p> <p>The Committee NOTED The following applications which had NO OBJECTION had been permitted by Milton Keynes Council, these applications were:</p> <p>20/00023/FUL withdrawn <i>3 Manor Cottages, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NN Relocate the garage/office/store from the South East corner of 3 Manor Cottages, to the South West corner of the site. The proposed photovoltaic solar panels on the West roof elevation are to be flush mounted and integrated into the roof.</i></p> <p>20/00466/FULMMA Permit <i>W H Barley Transport Ltd, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NL Variation of condition 5 (Construction Management Plan) attached to planning permission 19/03115/FULMMA to allow for continuous occupation of the site</i></p>

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

	<p>20/00453/FULMMA Permit W H Barley Transport Ltd, Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5NL Variation of condition 7 (Car Parking Area) attached to planning permission 19/03115/FULMMA to allow occupation during construction</p> <p>20/00680/FUL Permit 113 Windsor Street, Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5AN Replacement of Front Door and Front Windows</p> <p>20/00731/FUL Permit 29 Old Wolverton Road, Old Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5PZ Proposed new bypass lane to provide segregation between the loading and circulation activities within the MARS site.</p> <p>20/00569/FUL Permit St Mary Magdalene Catholic Primary School, Ardwell Lane, Greenleys, Milton Keynes, MK12 6AY To erect 1no modular building providing additional teaching space for the school.</p> <p>20/00796/FUL Permit 51 Aylesbury Street, Wolverton, Milton Keynes, MK12 5HS, Replace existing UPVC windows with white UPVC vertical sliding sash type windows to the lounge bay front elevation</p> <p>20/00238/FUL Permit Unit 6, The Ro 24, Twizel Close, Stonebridge, Milton Keynes The installation of 2no. windows at first floor level.</p> <p>The Committee NOTED the following applications which had an OBJECTION from WGTC and had been permitted by Milton Keynes Council,</p> <p>20/00226/PANB1C Permit Unit 30, Walker Avenue, Wolverton Mill, Milton Keynes, MK12 5TW Change of use from office (Use Class B1a) to 7x1 bedroom dwellings (Use Class C3)</p>
<p>PL20/028</p>	<p>Receive a report on windows and their replacement from Cllr Barby</p> <p>It was RESOLVED to defer this item to the next meeting</p>
<p>PL20/029</p>	<p>Receive a report on Enforcement</p> <p>The following report was received and NOTED</p> <div data-bbox="264 1061 1506 1469" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Enforcement update</p> <p>The Clerk has been in contact with planning Department at Milton Keynes council, they have agreed to the following with regards to enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report issues via the report it app • Reported enforcement issues on portal to be investigated • Weekly spreadsheet requested with cases reported and their status for ones being investigated and ones the parish council has reported – new tab has been set up for all cases that are open • Reviewing enforcement plan and guide • Request to update the data sharing agreement for reporting of enforcement, which is currently confidential when reported by the claimant. • List of enforcement cases reported and not updated to be sent to Nicola Thompson </div>
<p>PL20/030</p>	<p>MKC Planning reports</p> <p>It was RESOLVED to vary the order of agenda slightly to discuss the proposal from Cllr Moss first</p> <p>Proposal for Formatting reports for MKC PC</p> <div data-bbox="264 1626 1506 1953" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>As with most such ideas – the deal involves a little extra work for the author but hopefully reduces the work and increases the impact for the reader (both WGTC and MK Planning). The latter is, to me, particularly important as they are busy and the quicker we grab their attention with the salient points, the better.</p> <p>Below, layout and formatting are part of the response – bits in red are my comments and not meant to be included.</p> <div data-bbox="277 1877 1490 1953" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>20/12345/FUL Response from WGTC on 24 May 2020</p> </div> </div>

HM Saunders

7th July 2020

OBJECT	Wolverton and Greenleys Town Council OBJECT to the proposed development for the reasons given below.
---------------	---

Or

20/12346/OUT Amended Response from WGTC on 25 May 2020	
NO OBJECTION	Wolverton and Greenleys Town Council have NO OBJECTION to the proposed development. Explanatory comments are given below.

This is everything Council and MK Planning actually need in a consistent format. The response date (and "amended") makes it easier for them and us to see if we've given multiple responses as the applicant has maybe changed their proposal.

The table above should be on its own at the top of our response (just after any "Dear Rob" or similar). There should be a space between it and the larger table which comes next.

I've got two different layouts below; I've done most of my responses in the one I prefer with the other at the end – consistency is key; stick to one or the other, don't mix them!

ER11 OBJECT	Condition. protection of local shops, post offices, banks and public houses Planning permission will be refused for changes of use that involve the loss of an existing shop, post office, bank and public house unless: 1. All means of retaining the use has been explored and; 2. The Council is satisfied that the existing use is no longer commercially viable. For shops in local centres within the city see policy ER13 Objection. Unacceptable loss of retail space
Parking OBJECT	Condition. SPD says... Objection. Insufficient allowance for the number of vehicles.
Environmental OBJECT	Condition. Policy... Objection. While the applicant mentions storage for bins, there is insufficient clarity that this will be easily accessible to both the occupant and refuse collection.
Landscaping COMMENT	Condition. Policy ... Comment. WGTC appreciate the effort made by the developer to
General COMMENT	Condition. Affordable Housing Comment. With affordable housing in short supply in Wolverton, WGTC would like to thank...

I feel that bringing each item out clearly in tabular form allows both us and MK Planning to find the right bit quickly. "Parking, we expected that. Hang on ER11, that's a good point, we'd missed that...". We try and avoid mixing "lack of retail and not enough parking" (or any other unrelated issues) in one part of the objection.

We OBJECT even where it looks OK but lacks clarity or detail

If the overall result is OBJECT then the second table should have only OBJECT or COMMENT. There should be nothing which can be taken out of context as NO OBJECTION.

On the other hand, and with the right (NO OBJECTION) heading ...

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

Parking NO OBJECTION	Condition. SPD says ... Comment. We are pleased to note that the applicant has provided off street parking above and beyond that required in the SPD
ER11 NO OBJECTION	Condition. protection of local shops, post offices, banks and public houses Planning permission will be refused for changes of use that involve the loss of an existing shop, post office, bank and public house unless: 1. All means of retaining the use has been explored and; 2. The Council is satisfied that the existing use is no longer commercially viable. For shops in local centres within the city see policy ER13 Comment. We are pleased to note that the applicant has increased the retail space as part of this development

NOTE – There is no need to list every “no objection”, just those where we have a comment to make (or praise to give). And obviously if the overall is NO OBJECTION there should be no OBJECTION in the detail.

Lastly, there is an alternative layout which I don’t think is as good but I’ll include for completeness. Have three columns not two and have condition and comment (or objection) side-by-side not one above the other.

Parking NO OBJECTION	Condition. SPD	Comment. We are pleased to note that the applicant has provided off street parking above and beyond that required in the SPD
ER11 NO OBJECTION	Condition. protection of local shops, post offices, banks and public houses Planning permission will be refused for changes of use that involve the loss of an existing shop, post office, bank and public house unless: 1. All means of retaining the use has been explored and; 2. The Council is satisfied that the existing use is no longer commercially viable. For shops in local centres within the city see policy ER13	Comment. We are pleased to note that the applicant has increased the retail space as part of this development

The reason I don’t like it is pure and simple; it looks quite crowded for lengthy conditions or responses although logically it might be easier to read!

PL20/031

It was **RESOLVED** by the committee to use the format as suggested by Cllr Moss for reporting back to MKC Planning in the future. The Assistant was in agreeance
MKC Planning Reports - Provide feedback and agree to future format

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

Sarah Hine Development Management Manager from MKC has asked for the planning Committees feedback on the reports that are provided at DCC . They are 6 monthly performance reports and it would be helpful to understand if this format is useable or if the councillors would prefer this in a different format.

The committee **RESOLVED** that there was no issue with the MKC planning reports and that they were very easy to decipher, this would be fed back

PL20/032

Consultations – Community Led Regeneration Estate Renewal strategy provide and agree comments to be.

The committee **RESOLVED** to submit the following comments on 5th June for this consultation (subject to any further comments from Cllrs being submitted before this date)

Community Led Regeneration and Estate Renewal Strategy

Proposed comments from WGTC agreed

Do you agree with this new approach to regeneration? : * :	Yes No
If NO, please explain why : :	
Do you agree that the four priorities are the right ones? : * :	Yes No
If NO, please explain why : :	However, these are very broad
Do you feel the strategy is missing anything significant? : * :	Yes No
If YES please explain why : :	Housing: It doesn't look at conservation areas, and whilst it is trying to make changes for future climate change and growth etc the strategy needs to have a focus on some of the old towns that form Milton Keynes.
Any further comments? : :	Communities - There need to be more of an emphasis on the young adults, there is a huge gap for which their needs are not covered and we need to get kids off streets

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

and start looking at more things to involve them with within their communities.

We would like to see more specifics as to how the plans are going to come about, the details are very broad and do not contain much details, but seem to be more of a wish list

Rentable community space there does not seem to be a lot of this included within the document to address those aspect of the community who don't access the facilities such as teens

Items to note
PL20/033 Minutes as agreed at the Annual Meeting of the Town Council were NOTED
PL20/034 Scheme of Delegation Policy – the following update was agreed and noted by the councillors for councillor attendance at DCC/DCP meetings, there is flexibility to swap these dates as and when required and also to add the new councillors on the Planning Committee

Councillor	2 nd Councillor	Date of DCC/DCP
Cllr Cook	Cllr Riaz	20.05.20 DCC
Cllr Riaz	Cllr Saunders	04.06.20 DCC
Cllr Saunders	Cllr Barby	18.06.20 DCP
Cllr Barby	Cllr Moss	02.07.20 DCC
Cllr Moss	Cllr Cook	16.07.20 DCP
Cllr Cook	Cllr Riaz	06.08.20 DCC
Cllr Riaz	Cllr Saunders	20.08.20 DCP
Cllr Saunders	Cllr Barby	03.09.20 DCC
Cllr Barby	Cllr Moss	17.09.20 DCP
Cllr Moss	Cllr Cook	08.10.20 DCC
Cllr Cook	Cllr Riaz	22.10.20 DCP
Cllr Riaz	Cllr Saunders	05.11.20 DCC
Cllr Saunders	Cllr Barby	19.11.20 DCP
Cllr Barby	Cllr Moss	03.12.20 DCC
Cllr Moss	Cllr Cook	10.12.20 DCP

PL20/035 Permitted Development Technical Guidance – The updates from the officer were noted and it was agreed that these would be used for future planning reports
PL20/036 Appeal Decision for 18/01372FUL Rectory Farm – The following appeal decision was noted by the committee, it was observed that as a result of this, the 5YLHS was indeed in supply in Milton Keynes as a result of this decision
PL20/037 Street Trading Objection – The following officer update was noted

As you may be aware at the meeting held virtually on the 5th May it was agreed by councillors to OBJECT to the following ref 143306 for Street Trading Consent Boroughwide Renewal and Transfer - MK Ices, SV64 WSJ
 Comments submitted were as follows:

PL19/225	<p>Street Trading Consent Applications – ref 143306 MK Ices Boroughwide Street Trading Consent – Renewal and Transfer Variation hours applied for Monday to Sunday 14:00 – 21:00</p> <p>The Committee RESOLVED to OBJECT to this application as it is felt it's too late to operate past 8pm selling goods, which has the potential to wake young children up – Therefore we would object on the following Grounds:</p>
----------	--

HMSaunders

7th July 2020

PL20/038	<p>2. Public Nuisance – vans travelling around at this time of the night have the potential to wake young children up or aid as a distraction to them 4. Protection of children from harm – The times that are being applied for are too late in the evening when this should be a time for families to be settling down and can encourage teens to be hanging about and causing potential noise for those younger children</p>
	<p><i>The response received back from the officer was as follows: “Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback on this application. Under the Noise from Ice-Cream Van Chimes Etc. in England 2013; It is an offence to sound chimes after 7.00 pm, it is also an offence to sound chimes at any time in such a way as to give reasonable cause for annoyance. Therefore, noise control is covered and enforced via this primary legislation, instead of by way of the street trading permit. Of course, if a trader is found to be in breach of this, Licensing have the provision to refer the offender’s permit to regulatory sub committee for action also.</i></p> <p><i>In terms of the risk of potential ASB, the permit allows for no more than 20 minutes trading in any 100 metre part of any one Consent Street on any one day. Indeed, Thames Valley Police Licensing are consulted on applications, and if there is any intelligence or evidence to suggest that ASB is occurring, then I could then progress forward with W&GTC representation to committee for determination. If TVP do so, I will inform you</i></p> <p>Public Toilet Tax – The following report was noted</p> <p>The Government announced in March 2020 that there was new legislation to be brought forward to abolish business rates on public lavatories, Public toilets are not a statutory service and as a result of the taxes imposed many public conveniences have been closed as councils/parish councils have to pay tax on top of other costs to keep them running. The British Toilet Association have estimated that 40% of public toilet have disappeared over the last decade and their loss is felt widely for people who try to go about their normal daily businesses Plans to exempt public toilets from business rates had actually been proposed last year, but the measure never went through because of the general election. The Non-Domestic rating Public Lavatories Bill 2019 to 2021 Act was last heard on the 18th of March 2020 and is awaiting its second reading in the House of Commons with a date to be confirmed.</p>

The Chairman closed the meeting at 20:02
Next meeting due to be held 7th July 2020

HMSaunders

7th July 2020